

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,083 08/11/2006		Marc Theisen	10191/3760 1555	
26646 7	590 12/08/2009		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON LLP				
	ONE BROADWAY			
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)

Application No.		Applicant(s)			
10/550,083		THEISEN ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jorge O. Peche	3664			

The A 41.37	ppeal Brief filed on 10 September 2009 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR
1205.	old dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. NSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.
1. 🗆	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🗆	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. 🔲	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6. 🗆	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. 🛚	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🔲	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
	(4) Applicant is kindly invited to map the subject matter of the independent claim 5 to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing wherein a concise explanation is provided. Furthermore, Applicant had cited multiple sections of the specification without identifying each claim limitations.
	(8) Argument section, page 11, par. 4, Applicant had cited a Meriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, Eleventh Edition to argue the Examiner's final rejection of claims 5-10. However, the above document is considered as new evidence and should be submitted appropriately in accordance to the MPEP quidance.
	(athor) in view of the Applicantia assument regarding the rejected dainy Europe 25 H CC 110 first accourage as

indicated in the Final Office action as claim 1, the Examiner had withdrawn the above rejection. The Applicant is kindly invited to consider this withdrawn-action in a future supplemental/replacement Appeal Brief.

⁻⁻The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

Continuation Sheet (Patents and Trademark-462) /KHOI TRAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3664

Jorge Peche

Application No 08/112,233

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-462 (Rev. 7-05) Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)

Part of Paper No. 20091127